

## **Rooftop** Wiring Temperature Field Installation Experiment

by Howard Herndon

-

# Wiring Temperature Field Installation Experiment

### by Howard Herndon

The rooftop temperature adders in *NEC* 310.15(B)(3)(c) were first included in the 2008 *NEC*. The proposal to include this requirement was based on a study that showed increased temperatures in conduits on rooftops in direct sunlight. However, there remained unanswered questions for the Southern Nevada Chapter of IAEI; they live with extreme temperatures, and yet

installers and inspectors have not seen evidence of failure related to rooftop installations. Since the impact on conductor sizing due to this requirement is significant in the Southern Nevada area, the Chapter funded an experiment to gather more information about rooftop electrical installations exposed to direct sunlight.

MANDALAT BAT

In conjunction with SouthWest Electritech



Photo 1. Rooftop installation for temperature experiment in Las Vegas

Services, a third party independent electrical testing firm, Chapter members designed a test setup to determine if actual electrical installations on rooftops experienced the damage to conductors reported to Code-Making Panel 6 in the 2008 *NEC* development process. The conductor sizes used were based on *NEC* requirements, but without the temperature correction factors required by 310.15(B)(3)(c).

#### **Test Setup Installation and Data**

In order to capture data during the hottest part of the year, the test setup was installed July 7, 2012; data was collected and analyzed for a two-month period. The test period captured data during the hottest days of 2012, which was reported to be the hottest summer on record for Las Vegas. Licensed electricians installed the electrical conductors and thermocouples. Southwest Electritech Services employees installed the data loggers, current meters and recording software.

The test installation was located on a facility that is a two-story warehouse with office area on the first floor (occupying about 25% of the first floor). The construction is concrete tilt up with a wood truss built up roof, using asphalt rolled roofing. The second story was not in use throughout the duration of the testing, and therefore was not conditioned.

Temperature data was collected in three conduit locations. Since the electrical equipment was already in place, an additional 20 feet of EMT was added to each circuit (photo 1) to allow for the installation of the monitored conductors and thermocouples. The runs were installed running east to the west in a location chosen to get maximum sunlight exposure during the hottest portion of the day.

Two thermocouples were installed in conduits with wire that was unloaded and attached to an evaporative (swamp) cooler in two different conduit sizes. Another thermocouple was installed in a conduit with wire that was loaded and attached to an air conditioner. The thermocouples were carefully installed in such a way as to contact the conductor installation and in no way be in contact with the EMT itself (photo 2).

The first setup had five 12 AWG conductors with THHN/THWN-2 insulation. These were installed in 10 feet of 1/2" EMT and the return run in 3/4" EMT. A thermocouple was installed in each of these runs. Also, a thermocouple was installed inside the disconnect of the evaporative cooler these conductors fed, and then one thermocouple was installed to measure outside ambient temperature at approximately 48" above the roof surface, near the top of the cooler. The conduits were supported on industry manufactured roof support block approximately 6" above the roof surface (photo 3).

The second installation was connected to a 5-ton rooftop mounted all-in-one A/C unit that was in use for the duration of the test (photo 4). This unit supplies cooling to the first floor offices of the facility.



#### Photo 2. Thermocouple installation

It was found that this unit frequently ran for over 3 hours at a time, giving us good data on the conductors feeding it. Measuring temperature readings on the insulation of the loaded conductors provided a real world application (photo 5). Since the A/C was the only load on these conductors and ran for more than three hours at a time, it was a good test of an installation with continuous loading and without diversity.

This installation consisted of two 6 AWG THHN/ THWN-2 conductors with a 10 AWG equipment grounding conductor, installed in 1" EMT, again on the same type of roof supports as the first installation. For this installation, a thermocouple was installed in one of the runs of the 1" EMT, one was installed in the junction box about 18" above the rooftop and the outside thermocouple was installed about 6" above the rooftop so that it would have a western exposure.

Intellirent, a company specializing in electrical test equipment, provided certified, calibrated data loggers and current meters, as well as the computers and software used to download and analyze the data. Data was collected every 60 seconds by each of the data loggers for each of the measurement points (photo 6). This produced a great deal of data. In order to report meaningful information, the highest temperatures recorded each day were compared to nationally reported ambient temperature values obtained from the NOAA database. This comparison resulted in a maximum daily differential temperature.

Ambient temperature data was also collected with the thermocouples installed in two locations on the roof. In general, these ambient thermocouples recorded temperatures higher than that reported by NOAA. Since installers and inspectors will typically depend on nationally reported data, we chose



Photo 3. Height above rooftop

	Conductor Size	Conduit Size	Loaded	Highest Temperature
Thermocouple 1	12 AWG	1/2"	No	130°F
Thermocouple 2	12 AWG	3/4"	No	130°F
Thermocouple 3	6 AWG	1"	Yes	148°F

Table 1. Highest recorded temperatures for each thermocouple in conduit

	Location	Loaded	Highest Temperature
	Evap. Cooler	No	
Thermocouple 4	Disconnect		117°F
	A/C Junction		
Thermocouple 5	Box	Yes	137°F

Table 2. Highest recorded temperatures for thermocouple in disconnect and junction box

	OPT P		IAL	TC	IN DU 75	ODELE		00E		-
COMFORT PRODUCTS				HER. NO. GPIFM68K188E						
PONES 208/	238 .	1	68	1.000	and the second second second	AMPS	-	IRCUIT A	PS 45	
Annual Property of the local division of the	Contraction (second second			-			The briddenia of	Contraction of the local division of the loc	the second second	.0
HOLINE OF BUILT	253 M							R-22 TES		
CONTRACTS IN	208-2	-			-	8.5		and the second se	and the second second	
CON-18E 15 18		30 1	80		110	0.5	LBS	KGHI	150 PS1	2069
FAN MTR	UDLTS A	C Pr	HZ	FLA	HP		rua	NgLoj	130 PS	1034
SUTSOOR	208-2	38 1	60	1.9	1/3	nex.	ENTER	AL DUCT		
11000k	208-2	Contract of the	60		1	STAT	I: PRE	SURE	.5	W.C.
COMBUST	208/2	30 1	60		.02	DESI	G-ED P	K. OUTLE	1.000	
OTHOR	Passas a	Colores -				AIR	TEMPER	TURE	170	
HEATING INF 810/HS 100.00		BIL/		FR	тенр. А ОМ Ф.р	10 60	¢ p	645 42		.62
	Sec.	1	500	30		60	. 6 p	100		S
2000-4510 F										
HEATING INF	UT:	OUTP	Steller .		TEMP. R				FICE SIZ	
BTU/HS		BTL/		FR	0H 0 (F	TO	10	6AS		IR
92,000	8	13	688	38	++	60 60	8.0	43	1	.62
FROM DUCT DUCT CONNEL FLOORING OF THIS FURNE INSTRUCTION INSTRUCTION	LE MUST &	E INS	TALLO TALLO	D IN A	E ABSE	BL CO OVCREAT NCE AT	CHER SI TROL I IN THE LOCAL	CODES. FD	LDE) FRONT) KLT RER'S LLOW TH	
ALLAN							c (			
-							wEarlin Martin	and Column apprise Co plainer with a at Standed	413/168	

Photo 4. AC label

to use the NOAA data to calculate the differentials. This resulted in a worse case differential than if the measurements from the ambient thermocouple installed on the rooftop were used.

#### Results

During the experiment, it was found that on an actual rooftop in Las Vegas in an actual installation of conduits with wires (both loaded and unloaded), the temperatures measured did not approach the temperatures predicted by the adjustment requirements in Section 310.15(B)(3)(c). On the contrary, the average temperature differential recorded was  $15^{\circ}F$  for unloaded conductors in conduit. Since the conduits were installed approximately 6" above the rooftop, the adjustment factors required by the values in the 2011 NEC Table 310.15(B)(3)(c) would require an adder of  $30^{\circ}F$ , twice the actual measured values.

Additionally, it was observed during this real world rooftop test that *the loaded conductors never exceeded the operating temperature of the conductors or terminations during the testing.* Since the originally stated reason that the additional temperature correction was added to the code was the premise that conductors would exceed



Photo 5. Current meters

their rated temperature, this testing shows that the premise was false. *The highest recorded temperature was 148°F for fully loaded conductors*. The maximum ambient temperature on that day was 114°F according to NOAA, resulting in a differential of 34°F for *loaded* conductors in conduit operating at the maximum load recorded on the air conditioning circuit (37 amps). Much of this differential was due to the heat generated by the current flowing through the conductor, not the heating of the conduit by sunlight exposure.

The conductors are rated at 194<sup>o</sup> F and the connections are limited to 167<sup>o</sup> F. Comparing these limitations to the measured temperatures indicates that even should the temperature be more extreme or if there was additional load placed on the circuit, the conductors and connections are unlikely to exceed their rated temperature.

2014 *NEC* Proposal 6-29 requested even higher values for temperature correction - for this installation, 50<sup>o</sup> F would have been required. CMP-6 decided in the Comment phase to reject Proposal 6-29 in Panel Comment 6-14a. This decision was based in part on the information gathered during the experiment described in this article, which was presented to CMP-6 at the ROC meeting in December 2012.

#### Summary

The test results indicate that the added temperature correction values in 310.15(B)(3)(c) are unnecessary for rooftop electrical installations in the Las Vegas area. Since Las Vegas is one of the hotter areas in the country, it is likely that the correction factors are unnecessary for other areas,

#### ROOFTOP WIRING TEMPERATURE



Photo 6. Data logger and software

as well. These findings support the statement submitted by IAEI CMP-6 principal John Stacey with his negative vote to 2014 NEC Comment 6-16, which stated that "The requirement in Section 310.15(B)(3)(c) increases cost with no benefit to the safety of people or the protection of equipment, and this requirement should be removed in its entirety." H Howard Herndon is founder and president of SouthWest Electritech Services, an independent electrical technical and testing services company based in Las Vegas, Nevada. Howard has over 30 years of experience in various fields in the electrical industry including electrical distribution equipment manufacturers, electrical systems designs, short circuit and coordination studies, electrical equipment field testing, and teaching electrically-based classes including electrical safety and code classes. Howard has served on the Board of Directors of the International Association of Electrical Inspectors, Southern Nevada Chapter since 2001, currently holding the position as secretary and treasurer of the chapter since 2005. He also serves on the Southern Nevada Electrical Code Committee as an industry member since 2005, for both the 2005, 2008, and 2011 NEC Southern Nevada Amendments.

## "Ensuring the Safety and Reliability of your Electrical System"





NO SAFE PPE EXISTS ENERGIZED WORK PROHIBITED FLASH PROTECTION Tash Hazard at 18 inches An. Arc Rating 86 caliom\*2 Boundary: 327 inches ve Class: 00 Clothing Category: Dangerous! No FR Category Found

SHOCK PROTECTION Shock Hazard when 480 VAC 42 inches 12 inches Restricted Approach 12 inches Prohibited Approach 1 inches et Elect www.SWEServices.com and 02/06/12

SWBD MSA (PART 1)







## Field Services

- Power Quality & Load Monitoring
- Infrared Thermography .
- Switchboard and Switchgear Testing
- Grounding Resistance Testing
- Ground Fault Systems Testing
- **Circuit Breaker Testing**
- Transformer Testing & Oil Sampling
- Low and Medium Voltage Cable Testing
- Electrical Safety Audits
- Electrical Systems Start-up and Commissioning

### Technical Services

- . Arc Flash Study (NFPA70E Compliance)
- . Selective Coordination Study (NEC Compliance)
- Short & Coordination Circuit Study
- . Grounding Studies
- Electrical Load Flow Analysis
- Design Reviews

## Training Services

- **Electrical Safety**
- Arc Flash NFPA70E
- Electrical Distribution Equipment

## Shop & Equipment Services

- Equipment Reconditioning & Repair Services
- Remanufactured Equipment
- New, Used and Surplus Distribution Equipment .
- . Control Cabinets (ICP UL508A)
- **Custom Bussing Services for Equipment Modifications**
- Custom Grounding System Bars
- NRTL Listings for Equipment

## SouthWest Electritech Services

Electrical Systems Technical Services

Toll Free: 888-296-0625 Phone: 702-685-5510 3711 Regulus Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89102 Visit us at www.sweservices.com

